

From: [Rachel Ness](#)
To: [NDE](#)
Subject: Consultation Response to the Draft National Development Framework
Date: 14 November 2019 11:45:02
Attachments: [draft-national-development-framework-response-form_0 \(1\).pdf](#)

Please find attached our completed consultation response form commenting on the draft National Development Framework.

Aardvark EM Ltd is a planning consultancy based in the south west of England long specialised in successful renewable energy infrastructure delivery, and currently advising on a large number of such projects in England and Wales.

I would be grateful if you would confirm receipt of this email.

Kind regards

Rachel Ness

Director

AARDVARK EM Limited

Higher Ford

Wiveliscombe

Somerset TA4 2RL

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Web: www.aardvarkem.co.uk

This Email is confidential and may contain legally privileged information.

If you are not the intended recipient it may be unlawful for you to read, copy, distribute, disclose or otherwise make use of the information herein. Aardvark EM Limited will accept no liability for the mis-transmission, interference or interception of any Email and you are reminded that Email is not a secure method of communication.

Consultation Response Form

Your name	Rachel Ness
Your address	Head Office Higher Ford Wiveliscombe Somerset TA4 2RL
Preferred contact details (email/phone/post)	Email: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (if applicable)	<u>Aardvark EM Limited</u>

1. NDF Outcomes (chapter 3)

The NDF has proposed 11 Outcomes as an ambition of where we want to be in 20 years' time.

- Overall, to what extent do you agree or disagree the 11 Outcomes are a realistic vision for the NDF?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- To what extent do you agree with the 11 Outcomes as ambitions for the NDF?

Agree with all of them	Agree with most of them	Agree with some of them	Agree with none of them	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with any of the 11 Outcomes, please tell us why:

In our view Outcome 11 correctly identifies that the challenges of climate change demand urgent action on carbon emissions. However, as presently drafted, Outcome 11 states that “the planning system must help Wales lead the way in delivering a sustainable decarbonised society”, we suggest this under plays the urgency of action required (recognising the declared Climate Emergency in Wales) and the pivotal role planning has in making the necessary transformative changes to the country’s energy infrastructure requirements. We therefore request that Outcome 11 provides for a presumption in favour of all renewable and low carbon energy proposals and the approval of applications if their impacts are (or can be made) acceptable.

2. Spatial Strategy (policies 1 - 4)

The NDF **spatial strategy** is a guiding framework for where large-scale change and nationally important developments will be focused over the next 20 years.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the spatial strategy and key principles for development in...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Urban areas (Policies 1, 2 & 3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rural areas (Policy 4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- If you have any comments on the spatial strategy or key principles for development in urban and rural areas, please tell us:

3. Affordable Housing (policy 5)

The NDF sets out the approach for providing affordable housing, encouraging local authorities, social landlords, and small and medium-sized construction and building enterprises to build more homes.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the approach to increasing affordable housing?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree, in what other ways can the NDF approach the delivery of affordable housing?

4. Mobile Action Zones (policy 6)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree the identification of mobile action zones will be effective in encouraging better mobile coverage?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree, in what other ways can the NDF improve mobile phone coverage in the areas which currently have limited access?

5. Low Emission Vehicles (policy 7)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree that policy 7 will enable and encourage the roll-out of charging infrastructure for ultra-low emission vehicles?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree, in what other ways can the NDF enable and encourage the roll-out of charging infrastructure for ultra-low emission vehicles?

6. Green Infrastructure (policies 8 & 9)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the approach to maintaining and enhancing biodiversity and ecological networks?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

7. Renewable Energy and District Heat Networks (policies 10-15)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the NDF's policies to lower carbon emissions in Wales using...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Large scale wind and solar developments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
District heat networks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with the NDF's approaches to green infrastructure, renewable energy or district heat networks, what alternative approaches should we consider to help Wales to enhance its biodiversity and transition to a low carbon economy?

We agree with the NDF's recognition that wind and solar can significantly contribute towards achieving the transition to secure low carbon and renewable energy supplies, particularly in the short to medium term.

However, for the reasons set out below, we disagree with the NDF's approach to directing large scale wind and solar development to designated Priority Areas and limiting presumption in favour of their development to just these areas:

- The scale and urgency of the need for new low carbon and renewable energy means there should be an overarching presumption in favour of all low carbon and renewable energy, including wind and solar development;
- Priority Area designations create unhelpful commercial difficulties and challenges in securing land, which in turn can slow the delivery of new generating capacity at a time when speed of delivery to meet very challenging net carbon targets is paramount;
- It is understood that analysis by renewable energy developers (to be shared by Renewables UK in its NDF response) of the technical reports underpinning the NDF's Priority Areas demonstrates that significant quantities of the designated land are unsuitable for development. This in turn creates a real risk that the NDF's target of 70% of electricity consumption to be generated by renewable energy by 2030 will be missed; and
- Designation of Priority Areas can generate significant community opposition and is counterproductive given the urgent need for new low carbon and renewable energy infrastructure.

Furthermore, the requirement in the NDF policies for applicants to demonstrate that benefits have been “maximised” and adverse effects “minimised” is ambiguous, and no guidance is provided as to how the target for renewable energy projects to have at least an element of local ownership by 2020 is to be achieved. Both of these policy aspects run the risk of slowing down or preventing the delivery of urgently needed low carbon/renewable energy.

To address the above we request the removal of Policies 10, 11 and 12 and their replacement with a single policy for low carbon/renewable energy that states:

- A presumption in favour of granting all low carbon and renewable energy development;
- A recognition of the urgent need for new low carbon and renewable energy capacity and no requirement for applicants to demonstrate need;
- That substantial weight will be given to considerations of need in undertaking the planning balance of benefits and adverse impacts;
- An acceptance that there will be associated landscape and visual change and applications will be approved if impacts are (or can be) made acceptable;
- A presumption against development in National Parks and AONBs except in exceptional circumstances which must be robustly evidenced by applicants;
- A requirement for applicants to demonstrate that the potential benefits of the project outweigh the potential adverse impact; and
- The requirement for a decommissioning plan (that provides for the removal of all above ground infrastructure) to be provided no later than 12 months before permission expiry.

This type of approach is consistent with that set out in the Energy National Policy Statements and also the National Planning Policy Framework (Feb 2019) and would work equally well in Wales.

It is also considered important that the NDF provides the following clarification:

- Confirmation that in the event of a conflict between the NDF and Strategic Development Plans and Local Development Plans, the NDF prevails in relation to decision making on DNS applications;
- The primacy (or otherwise) of the NDF to Planning Policy for Wales; and
- The nature of the NDFs relationship to the published National Policy Statements for Energy (EN-1) and Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3), which provide the overall national energy policy in England and Wales for delivering major energy infrastructure (+50MW).

8. The Regions (policy 16)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the principle of developing Strategic Development Plans prepared at a regional scale?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The NDF identifies three overall regions of Wales, each with their own distinct opportunities and challenges. These are North Wales, Mid and South West Wales, and South East Wales.

9. North Wales (policies 17-22)

We have identified Wrexham and Deeside as the main focus of development in North Wales. A new green belt will be created to manage the form of growth. A number of coastal towns are identified as having key regional roles, while we support growth and development at Holyhead Port. We will support improved transport infrastructure in the region, including a North Wales Metro, and support better connectivity with England. North West Wales is recognised as having potential to supply low-carbon energy on a strategic scale.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed policies and approach for the North Region?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

10. Mid and South West Wales (policies 23-26)

Swansea Bay and Llanelli is the main urban area within the region and is our preferred location for growth. We also identify a number of rural and market towns, and the four Haven Towns in Pembrokeshire, as being regionally important. The haven Waterway is nationally important and its development is supported. We support proposals for a Swansea Bay Metro.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed policies and approach for the Mid and South West Region?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
----------------	-------	----------------------------	----------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

		disagree				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

11. South East Wales (policies 27-33)

In South East Wales we are proposing to enhance Cardiff's role as the capital and secure more sustainable growth in Newport and the Valleys. A green belt around Newport and eastern parts of the region will support the spatial strategy and focus development on existing cities and towns. Transport Orientated Development, using locations benefitting from mainline railway and Metro stations, will shape the approach to development across the region. There is support for the growth and development of Cardiff Airport.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed policies and approach for the South East Region?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If you have any comments about the NDF's approach or policies to the three regions, please tell us. If you have any alternatives, please explain them and tell us why you think they would be better.

--

12. Integrated Sustainability Appraisal

As part of the consultation process, an Integrated Sustainability Appraisal (ISA) was conducted to assess the social, economic and environmental impacts of a plan. The report identified a number of monitoring indicators, including health, equalities, Welsh language, the impact on rural communities, children's rights, climate change and economic development.

- Do you have any comments on the findings of the Integrated Sustainability Appraisal Report? Please outline any further alternative monitoring indicators you consider would strengthen the ISA.

No

13. Habitats Regulations Assessment

As part of the development of the NDF, a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) was undertaken. The purpose of the HRA process is to identify, assess and address any 'significant effects' of the plan on sites such as Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas for birds.

- Do you have any comments on the Habitats Regulations Assessment report?

No

14. Welsh Language

We would like to know your views on the effects that the NDF would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

- What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No opinion

Please also explain how you believe the proposed NDF could be formulated or changed so as to have:

- I. positive effects or increased positive effects on opportunities for people to use the Welsh language and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language, and
- II. no adverse effects on opportunities for people to use the Welsh language and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language.

15. Further comments

- Are there any further comments that you would like to make on the NDF, or any alternative proposals you feel we should consider?

No

16. Are you...?

Providing your own personal response	<input type="checkbox"/>
Submitting a response on behalf of an organisation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Responses to the consultation will be shared with the National Assembly for Wales and are likely to be made public, on the internet or in a report. If you would prefer your response to remain anonymous, please tick here	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------